

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – Institute of Preventive Medicine, PHL, Food (Health) Department – Allegations of corruption against Sri N.Keshava Reddy, Gazetted Food Inspector and Sri P.Veera Raju, Attender formerly at Eluru, W.G. District – Trapped on 16-11-2002 – Placed on his defence before Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings – Report submitted – Accepted – Further action dropped – Regularisation of period of suspension as “not duty” – Orders – Issued – Review petition filed – Rejected – Orders – Issued.

.....

HEALTH, MEDICAL & FAMILY WELFARE (VC-1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 410 Dated:25-03-2010
Read the following:-

1. From Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau Lr. No.324/RCT-EWG/2002, dated. 21-04-2003.
2. Government Memo. No.25390/VC.1(2)/2002-3, dated. 22-07-2003.
3. From Secretary, Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings Lr. No.Dis.S/35/2008, dated. 31-10-2008.
4. G.O.Rt.No.556, Health, Medical & Family Welfare (VC.1(2)) Department, dt. 27-04-2009.
5. From the Director Institute of Preventive Medicine and Public Health Labs and Food (Health) Administration, A.P., Hyderabad Lr. No.5899/E.1/2009, dated. 18-05-2009.
6. G.O.Rt.No.1251, Health, Medical & Family Welfare (VC.1(2)) Department, dated. 01-10-2009.
7. Review Petition filed by Sri N.Keshava Reddy, Assistant Food Controller (Retd.) dated on 03-02-2010.
8. G.O.Ms.No.30, Finance (FR.II) Department, dated. 15-02-2007.

* * *

ORDER:

Whereas, it was brought to the notice of Government in the reference 1st read above, that Sri N.Keshava Reddy, Gazetted Food Inspector and his Attender Sri P.Veera Raju was trapped on 16-11-2002 when he demanded and accepted bribe from the complainant for doing official favour. Government placed both the Accused Officers on their defence before Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, in the reference 2nd read above.

2. Whereas, in the reference 3rd read above, the Secretary, Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings has forwarded the reports in TEC. No.162/2003 and 163/2003, in respect of Sri N.Keshava Reddy, Gazetted Food Inspector and Sri P.Veera Raju, Attender respectively, holding that the prosecution has failed to establish the charge against the charges officers and therefore the charge is not proved against them. Government having examined the report of the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings was dropped against them, in the G.O. 4th read above.

3. In the G.O. 6th read above, Government issued orders treating the period for suspension as “not duty”.

4. In the reference 7th read above, Sri N.Keshava Reddy filed review petition and requested the Government to treat his period of suspension from 22-11-2002 to 28-10-2005 as “On Duty”.

(PTO)

:: 2 ::

5. Government, having examined the request of the individual in the light of findings of the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, observe that he was acquitted by the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings only because of turning hostile by the complainant and it was not an Hon'ble acquittal. Hence, the request of the individual does not deserve merit consideration and liable to be rejected. Accordingly, Government hereby reject the request of Sri N.Kesava Reddy, Gazetted Food Inspector (Retd.) to treat the period of suspension as duty.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

Dr. VIJAY KUMAR
EX-OFFICIO SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri N.Keshava Reddy, Assistant Food Controller (Retd.)
H.No.B-1391, Sector-VI, NGO's Colony,
Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad -500070
Copy to
The Director, Institute of Preventive Medicine
and Public Health Labs & Food (Health) Administration, Hyderabad
Sf.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER